

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/463,042	06/26/2002	Hanshan Dong	360.6933USU	5798
75	90 03/19/2004		EXAMINER	
Paul D Greeley			WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P	
Ohlandt Greeley Rugiero & Perle Suite 903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Landmark Square			1742	
Stamford, CT 06901			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	09/463,042	DONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George P Wyszomierski	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replict. In reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communi DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the men	its is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213 .	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 16-18</u> is/are allowed.	-		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>5, 6, 13-15 and 19</u> is/are objected t			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.		•
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 26 Jun E 2cc2 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2000 is/	niner. are:=a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		ceived in this National Stage	€
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , ,	paivad	
dee the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies not re-	Selveu.	
Attachment(s)	,		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) lail Date	
3) 🛛 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	/08) 5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20000118</u> .	6) [Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1742

1. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following minor informalities: In this claim, the phrase "wherein a further layer" should be changed to --further comprising a layer--, and the word "is" should be deleted.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemp (U.S. Patent 5,316,594).

Kemp discloses surface hardening a refractory metal workpiece (e.g. zirconium) by heating the workpiece to a temperature as recited in instant claims 1 and 9-12 and exposing the workpiece to an appropriate combination of gases to produce a desired hardened surface. Kemp, column 10, lines 46-54 discloses fluidizing in air (i.e. a combination of nitrogen and oxygen) for a period of time followed by treatment in a combination of argon and four mole percent oxygen. Such a treatment would appear to form the diffused oxide layer as recited in the instant claims. With regard to instant claim 8, the examiner's position is that repeating the steps of a known process any given number of times, to achieve a known result, does not define a patentable process. Consequently, a prima facie case of obviousness is established between the disclosure of Kemp and the presently claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1742

- 4. Claims 5, 6 and 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose or suggest performing the steps of the claimed process for the time periods as defined in claims 5, 6 and 15 or using the pressures as defined in claims 13 and 14. Claims 2, 3, and 16-19 are allowable over the prior art of record (subject to correction of the objection to claim 19 noted supra). The prior art does not disclose or suggest an article having a hardened case with the sigmoid shaped hardness profile as defined in claim 16, or the method of achieving such as defined in claim 2.
- 5. The remainder of the art cited on the enclosed PTO-892 and 1449 forms is of interest. This art is held to be no more relevant to the claimed invention than the art as applied in the rejections, supra. Some of this art was filed and/or published subsequent to the priority date of the present invention.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. Effective October 1, 2003, all patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the central facsimile number, (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE WYSZOWIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

GPW March 11, 2004